

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/757,654	YAMANAKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2612	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Non-Final

(1) Carramah J. Quiett.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Edward J. Wise, Applicant's Attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2005

**Time:** 9:23am (approximately)

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1-9 and 12-16

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 12/28/2004, an Election/Restrictions Office Action (paper no. 12132004) was mailed to the Applicant. In response to this Office Action, the Applicants elected the First Species for examination. However, the claims (1-9 and 12-16) were not elected properly. The Examiner's called the Applicant's Attorney on 6/6/2005 and 6/7/2005 in order to resolve the improper election of claims issue. On 6/8/2005, Attorney Wise returned the Examiner's calls in order to elect (with the Applicant's approval) claims 1-5, 9 and 10.